The Hon. Marsha J. Pechman 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 11 KENNETH MCGUIRE and DAVID 12 WILCZYNSKI, on Behalf of Themselves Case No. C07-800 MJP 13 and All Others Similarly Situated, **CLASS ACTION** 14 Plaintiffs, ORDER APPROVING 15 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND VS. 16 PLAN OF ALLOCATION DENDREON CORPORATION, 17 MITCHELL GOLD, and DAVID URDAL, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000 No. 2:07-cv-0800-MJP Tel: (206) 516-3880; Fax: (206) 516-3883 Page 1

1364557v1/010251

This matter came before the Court for a hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court entered on November 3, 2010 ("Preliminary Approval Order"), on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation" or "Settlement"), executed as of October 25, 2010 and filed with the Court on that date. All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings set forth and defined in the Stipulation.

The Court has received declarations attesting to the mailing of the Notice and publication of the Summary Notice in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order. Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings in this Action and otherwise being fully informed of the matters herein, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This Court finds that the distribution of the Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice, and the notice methodology, all implemented in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order:
- (a) constituted the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of the Action;
- (b) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of: (i) the proposed settlement of this class action; (ii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement, including the terms of the Stipulation and the Plan of Allocation; (iii) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing, either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense, if they are not excluded from the Class; and (iv) the binding effect of the proceedings, rulings, orders, and judgments in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who are not excluded from the Class;
- (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice; and

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION No. 2:07-cv-0800-MJP Page 2 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000 Tel: (206) 516-3880; Fax: (206) 516-3883

1364557v1/010251

(d) fully satisfied all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (including Rules 23(d) and (e)), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Rules of the Court, and any other applicable law.

- 2. This Court finds that the \$16,500,000 Settlement is well with a range of reasonableness in light of the best possible recovery at trial and the risks of continued litigation. The settlement recovery, which represents a substantial percentage of plaintiffs' estimated aggregate damages and a multiple of defendants' maximum estimated aggregate damages, compares favorably to other securities class action settlements, especially in light of the many contested issues that caused the parties to have different views of the value of this case. In addition, the Court finds the followings factors further support the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement:
- (a) this Settlement was achieved only after plaintiffs had the benefit of full development of the facts, evidence, and legal issues relating to their claims;
- (b) the dispute was mediated by an experienced and respected mediator; and
- (c) experienced Class Counsel believes that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
- 3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court finds that the terms and provisions of the Settlement were entered into by the Settling Parties at arm's length and in good faith, and are fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, each of the Settling Parties and the Class Members. All objections to the proposed Settlement, if any, are overruled in their entirety. The Settling Parties and their counsel are hereby directed to implement and consummate the Settlement in accordance with its terms and conditions.

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION No. 2:07-cv-0800-MJP Page 3 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000 Tel: (206) 516-3880; Fax: (206) 516-3883

21 22

2324

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION

No. 2:07-cv-0800-MJP

Page 4

4. The Notice, disseminated to Class Members in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, contained Class Counsel's proposed Plan of Allocation for distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order and as set forth in the Notice, any objections to the Plan of Allocation were to be filed and served by December 10, 2010. All objections to the proposed Plan of Allocation, if any, are overruled in their entirety.

- 5. This Court finds that the terms and conditions of the Plan of Allocation, including, without limitation, the method for determining an Authorized Claimant's Eligible Net Shares Purchased, the method for calculating *pro rata* shares, and the *de minimis* threshold of \$10.00, all of which are set forth in the Notice provided to Class Members, are a fair, reasonable, and adequate basis upon which to allocate the Net Settlement Fund among Authorized Claimants. The Court orders that the Net Settlement Fund be distributed in accordance with this Plan of Allocation and the Stipulation.
- 6. The Settling Parties are hereby authorized, without further approval of the Court, to unanimously agree to and adopt in writing such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation and all exhibits attached thereto, provided that such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation are done in accordance with the terms of paragraphs 10.2 and 10.7 of the Stipulation, are not materially inconsistent with this Order or the accompanying Final Judgment, and do not materially limit the rights of Class members under the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>De 17</u>, 2010

MARSHA J. PECHMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Maishelfers

)_[N

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000 Tel: (206) 516-3880; Fax: (206) 516-3883

1364557v1/010251

1	
1	Submitted by:
2	Marc M. Seltzer (admitted pro hac vice)
3	E-mail: mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com Ryan C. Kirkpatrick (admitted pro hac vice)
4	E-mail: rkirkpatrick@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
5	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950
6	Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 Tel: (310) 789-3100
7	Fax: (310) 789-3150
8	Drew D. Hansen (WSBA #30467) E-mail: dhansen@susmangodfrey.com
9	Daniel J. Shih (WSBA #37999) E-mail: dshih@susmangodfrey.com
10	Jordan Connors (WSBA #41649) E-Mail: jconnors@susmangodfrey.com
11	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
12	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000
13	Tel: (206) 516-3880 Fax: (206) 516-3883
14	Counsel for Plaintiffs
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION No. 2:07-cv-0800-MJP

Page 5

1364557v1/010251

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101-3000 Tel: (206) 516-3880; Fax: (206) 516-3883